

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/665,749	LEAH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Samir Termanini	2178	

All Participants:

(1) Samir Termanini.

(2) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 May 2007

Status of Application:

(3) Thomas Lees.

(4) _____.

Time: 12:15pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

of claims 1-12 under 35 USC §102(e)

Claims discussed:

1, 8, 11, and 12

Prior art documents discussed:

Wattenberg et al.

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During a telephonic interviews on 5/22/07 and 5/23/07 with applicant's Attorney (Mr. Thomas Lees), the Examiner indicated the probability of allowable subject matter and solicited applicants' assistance to avert impending rejection of claims 8, 11, and 12 under 35 U.S.C. §101. Thus, an amendment doing such was agreed to, and is being entered via Examiners Amendment.